

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/743,781	10/743,781 12/24/2003		Toshikazu Yoshimi	Q79096	9460		
23373	7590	03/23/2006		EXAMINER			
SUGHRUI		PLLC IA AVENUE, N.W.	LUKS, JEREMY AUSTIN				
SUITE 800	OID VIII (2171 V DI (OD, 11. W .		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DC	20037		2837	2837		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No	Applicant(s)					
					AII				
Office Action Summary		10/743,78		YOSHIMI ET AL.	RW				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
TI. MAII INO DATE	641.	Jeremy A. I		2837					
Period for Reply	f this communication app	oears on the	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, I - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or exten Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING Day Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 Ing date of this communication. In the maximum statutory period with the period for reply will, by statute Ithan three months after the mailing	ATE OF THI 136(a). In no ever will apply and will e, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION at, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on 24 D	ecember 20	<u>03</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is no	n-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance	with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim 5) □ Claim(s) is/are 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are re 7) □ Claim(s) is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are su	(s) is/are withdrawallowed. jected. objected to.	wn from con							
Application Papers									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are: a) acc at that any objection to the seet(s) including the correct	epted or b)[drawing(s) be tion is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☒ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-	. 892)		4) Interview Summary						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/24/03. 	rawing Review (PTO-948))	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/743,781

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as having a lack of antecedent basis. Claim 8 recites the limitation, "the first cutout portion," however; Claims 1 and 6 from which it depends on do not mention a "first cutout portion." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dodd (6,116,373).

With respect to Claims 1-3 and 10, Dodd teaches an attaching plate (Figure 1, #10); and a vibration-generating loudspeaker attached (20) to the attaching plate (10) with no gap in therebetween (Col. 3, Lines 31-33), wherein the attaching plate (20) comprises a cutout portion (24) formed at least partially surrounding the vibration source.

With respect to Claim 4, Dodd teaches wherein the cutout portion (Figure 1, 24) is filled with a filler (30).

Application/Control Number: 10/743,781

Art Unit: 2837

With respect to Claims 5 and 8, Dodd teaches wherein the cutout portion (Figure 1, #24) is formed in an arc or round shape (Col 3, Lines 57-60).

With respect to Claims 6 and 7, a first cutout portion (Figure 1, #24) comprises a plurality of holes arranged intermittently; and a second cutout portion (24) arranged on a vibration propagation path of a vibration propagates between the holes of the first cutout portion (24) (Col. 3 Lines, 40-44; Col. 4, Lines 40-41).

With respect to Claim 9, Dodd teaches wherein the cutout portion (Figure 1, #24) comprises a fold-back portion (28) in which the edge of the attaching plate (10) is folded back to a rear side thereof (Col. 3, Lines 61-67; Col. 4, Lines 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dodd (6,116,373) in view of Bertolini (6,226,927). Dodd is relied upon for the reasons and

Art Unit: 2837

disclosures set forth above. Dodd fails to disclose wherein the attaching plate comprises a panel provided on a door of a vehicle. Nevertheless, Bertolini discloses an attaching plate (Figure 5, #25) comprising a panel (8) provided on a door of a vehicle (Figure 1, #1)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Dodd with the door configuration of Bertolini in order to provide vibration dampening for a speaker system inside a vehicle, while also protecting the apparatus within said vehicle.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pertinent arts or record relating to vibration propagation suppressing structures are disclosed in the PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy A. Luks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-6:00, and alternating Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on (571) 272-2800 x33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,781 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeremy Luks
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

Edgardo San Martin
Primary Patent Examiner